

**It is the best way to make road transport safer if vehicle drivers take the driving test each year. To what extent do you agree or disagree? (5.08)**

Many claim that the most effective measure to better secure road traffic safety is to ~~allow-force~~ drivers to pass ~~the test of a~~ driving license ~~test~~ every year. I somewhat agree with this sentiment because ~~the-an~~ annual test ~~can-would~~ improve the driving skills of the driver and prohibit ~~unsuitable-unsafe~~ drivers; ~~h~~However, there are exceptions where yearly testing ~~is-not-should not be a~~ must.

**Comment [Dave1]:** hypothetical

**Comment [Dave2]:** much better intro – high level – though way too long...

The main reason why I believe the annual driving test can promote transport safety is that it will urge ~~vehicle operators/motorists~~ to improve their driving skills each year. This is crucial to road safety. A salient example of this can be seen in a comparison between car drivers and truck drivers in countries like China, where truckers are required to take the driving test yearly while car drivers only need ~~only apply~~once every six years, resulting in more accidents for cars over trucks.

**Comment [Dave3]:** incorrect paraphrase – don't over-paraphrase – paraphrasing is not very important

**Comment [Dave4]:** great development and example!

In addition, I also feel that ~~incapable-less capable~~ drivers threatening road safety can be ~~sorted out/eliminated~~ through yearly testing. Particularly those who are physically unhealthy are unsuitable to drive ~~anymore-any longer~~ and will be a real danger to ~~other-traffic participants/public safety~~. For example, people suffering from heart attacks and cardiovascular disease might ~~risk getting ill while driving/pose risks while driving~~. Only healthy drivers who are naturally energetic and passionate would be able to pass the yearly testing on health conditions.

**Comment [Dave5]:** good paragraph until this example – it makes no sense – you can't really tell if someone is about to have a heart attack – focusing on older people and eyesight would be a better example

However, I am receptive to the argument disputing the aforementioned logic that it is a waste of time and money to force all citizens to take the test. There are situations where annual driving ~~testing-tests~~ is not a necessity. For instance, it is more justifiable and realistic to take the test every five to ten years for young people under the age of 50 because of their good health.

**Comment [Dave6]:** again seems as though only Olympic athletes will be allowed to drive, which doesn't make sense

**Comment [Dave7]:** ok

In conclusion, I reiterate my partial agreement that annual driving testing contributes to the safety of road transportation because it not only enhances driving skills but also reduces ~~unhealthy-the likelihood of unsafe~~ drivers; and both of these are beneficial to traffic safety. However, young people under 50 ~~are-should be~~ exceptions to this ~~test~~policy.

**Comment [Dave8]:** hypothetical

**Comment [Dave9]:** try to write in a normal 4 paragraph structure:

Task achievement: 7

Cohesion/Coherence: 7

**Comment [Dave10]:** good ideas and development – write 4 paragraphs and develop each example more though

Vocabulary: 7

**Comment [Dave11]:** despite the paragraphing, very clear linking of ideas

Grammar: 7

**Comment [Dave12]:** good vocabulary throughout – mistakes paraphrasing

Overall: 7

**Comment [Dave13]:** this one is much more accurate!

## TRANSLATED BAND DESCRIPTORS [HOWTODOIELTS.COM](http://HOWTODOIELTS.COM)

Band Score	Task Achievement (Opinion and ideas)	Cohesion and Coherence (Organisation and linking of ideas)	Lexical Resource (Vocabulary and spelling)	Grammar: Range & Accuracy (Grammar and punctuation)
9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fully answers all parts of the question.</li> <li>Overall opinion - very clear, consistent and fully explained throughout the essay.</li> <li>Ideas - well-supported, very suitable and very relevant.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Organisation - very clear and very easy to follow throughout.</li> <li>Linking of ideas - very good throughout.</li> <li>Paragraphing - very natural.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Wide range of vocabulary, used very naturally and very flexibly</li> <li>Mistakes are very rare and similar to a native-speaker</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Wide range of grammar, used very naturally and flexibly</li> <li>Mistakes are very rare and similar to a native-speaker</li> </ul>
8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Answers all parts of the question very well.</li> <li>Overall opinion - clear, consistent, and well-explained throughout the essay</li> <li>Ideas - well-supported, suitable and relevant.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Organisation - very clear and logical throughout.</li> <li>Linking of ideas - good throughout.</li> <li>Paragraphing - very good.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Wide range of vocabulary, used naturally and flexibly to explain exact meanings</li> <li>Rare mistakes with incorrect words and collocations</li> <li>Rare mistakes with spelling and incorrect form of words</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Wide range of grammar.</li> <li>Mistakes are very rare - most sentences are 100% correct.</li> </ul>
7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Answers all parts of the question well.</li> <li>Overall opinion - clear, consistent and supported throughout the essay.</li> <li>Main ideas - relevant and clearly supported.</li> <li>Supporting ideas - some are too general or less relevant.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Organisation - clear and logical throughout.</li> <li>Linking of ideas - overall good but occasionally linking phrases are repeated or missing.</li> <li>Paragraphing - good, with one clear topic for each</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Good range of vocabulary, sometimes used naturally and flexibly to explain exact meanings.</li> <li>Some good use of more natural collocations.</li> <li>Rare mistakes with incorrect words, spelling and/or incorrect form of words</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Good range of complex grammar.</li> <li>Many sentences are 100% correct.</li> <li>Rare mistakes with punctuation.</li> </ul>
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some parts of the question are not answered fully.</li> <li>Overall opinion - relevant and supported but may be unclear, inconsistent or repetitive.</li> <li>Main ideas - relevant but not always clearly supported.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Organisation - clear and logical overall but not throughout</li> <li>Linking phrases - some good use but some are incorrect or robotic.</li> <li>Referencing - may be unclear or incorrect.</li> <li>Paragraphing - not always logical.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OK range of topic vocabulary.</li> <li>Tries to use less common and more natural vocabulary, sometimes successfully.</li> <li>Some mistakes with incorrect words, spelling and/or incorrect form of words but meaning is always clear.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A good range of complex and simple grammar.</li> <li>Some mistakes but meaning is nearly always clear.</li> <li>Some mistakes with punctuation.</li> </ul>