

Today, advanced science and technology have made great changes to people's life, but artists are still valued high such as musicians, painters and writers.

What can the arts tell us about life that science and technology cannot?

In recent years, with the development of science and technology, more has created greater convenience and higher efficiency were provided to improve the quality of people's life and raised the standard of living for the average citizen. However, it is noticeable that art is one area that cannot be replaced by science. Arts can hardly be taken place by scientific techniques. In this essay I will detail the ways in which art is still important. This essay will illustrate this phenomenon from several aspects with supportive details.

The form and characteristic of art determine that it could never be replaced by science and technology. Arts is emotional and abstract, creating from zero-nothing without many-set regulations and rules that, which can only be felt and created by people. However, science is serious and rational, which consist of a great deal of principles and calculations, and always have the only correct answer striving for objective truth. For instance, the art object mainly-often conveys the spiritual items-side about of people's thoughts, emotions, and relationship that could-cannot be touched or calculated in a scientific way. Therefore, the art produces completely different forms-of-items, and art tend to beautifies human's life in a more-creative way, offering colorful world for people to express and feel the circumstance and themselves, which irrelevant to the material-level self-expression rather than cold calculation and scientific fact.

Besides/Moreover, there is a huge difference between the goals of arts-the humanities and science. That is to say, content of arts satisfies the inner side need of human beings instead of the practical demands met by the technology. To be more specific, the art object mainly makes-up for the hollow in human's spirits-deals with subjective themes, while the technology delivers the-practical use-advances to tackle tangible problems such as cleaning the floor. For instance, Vincent van Gogh, a famous painter in Holland, draw pictures to express his sadness and inner emptiness, which generate-the-consensus-among connected with and inspired viewers who-to have the same feelings. Not only the-art-creators-artists can gain the-satisfaction of-from artistic expression, the art objects-itself can also fulfill viewers' mind-inundated-with/lives with intangible thoughts, providing a positive outlets for human beings that can never be accomplish-filled by science and technology.

To conclude, the arts convey the ineffable that could-not/cannot be done-provided by the science and technology, and also satisfies the intangible goals of human creativity's-inner side.

Comment [Dave1]: I think this is not exactly a real IELTS Q so that makes it tricky. I remember this one but it was phrased differently on the real exam.

Comment [Dave2]: Too wordy

Comment [Dave3]: More direct and clear

Comment [Dave4]: A little vague and should be at the end of your sentence.

Comment [Dave5]: The ideas are all over the place – try to be simple and logical in developing your main idea

Comment [Dave6]: Not a real example and still too vague to understand what you really mean. Much clearer with an example.

Comment [Dave7]: Too informal

Comment [Dave8]: paraphrase

Comment [Dave9]: more present simple for true facts now

Comment [Dave10]: great!

TRANSLATED BAND DESCRIPTORS HOWTODOIELTS.COM

Formatted: Font: (Default) Microsoft YaHei, 12 pt

Band Score	Task Achievement (Opinion and ideas)	Cohesion and Coherence (Organisation and linking of ideas)	Lexical Resource (Vocabulary and spelling)	Grammar: Range & Accuracy (Grammar and punctuation)
9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fully answers all parts of the question. Overall opinion - very clear, consistent and fully explained throughout the essay. Ideas - well-supported, very suitable and very relevant. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organisation - very clear and very easy to follow throughout. Linking of ideas - very good throughout. Paragraphing - very natural. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wide range of vocabulary, used very naturally and very flexibly Mistakes are very rare and similar to a native-speaker 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wide range of grammar, used very naturally and flexibly Mistakes are very rare and similar to a native-speaker
8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Answers all parts of the question very well. Overall opinion - clear, consistent, and well-explained throughout the essay Ideas - well-supported, suitable and relevant. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organisation - very clear and logical throughout. Linking of ideas - good throughout. Paragraphing - very good. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wide range of vocabulary, used naturally and flexibly to explain exact meanings Rare mistakes with incorrect words and collocations Rare mistakes with spelling and incorrect form of words 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wide range of grammar. Mistakes are very rare - most sentences are 100% correct.
7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Answers all parts of the question well. Overall opinion - clear, consistent and supported throughout the essay. Main ideas - relevant and clearly supported. Supporting ideas - some are too general or less relevant. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organisation - clear and logical throughout. Linking of ideas - overall good but occasionally linking phrases are repeated or missing. Paragraphing - good, with one clear topic for each 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good range of vocabulary, sometimes used naturally and flexibly to explain exact meanings. Some good use of more natural collocations. Rare mistakes with incorrect words, spelling and/or incorrect form of words 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good range of complex grammar. Many sentences are 100% correct. Rare mistakes with punctuation.
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some parts of the question are not answered fully. Overall opinion - relevant and supported but may be unclear, inconsistent or repetitive. Main ideas - relevant but not always clearly supported. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organisation - clear and logical overall but not throughout Linking phrases - some good use but some are incorrect or robotic. Referencing - may be unclear or incorrect. Paragraphing - not always logical. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> OK range of topic vocabulary. Tries to use less common and more natural vocabulary, sometimes successfully. Some mistakes with incorrect words, spelling and/or incorrect form of words but meaning is always clear. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A good range of complex and simple grammar. Some mistakes but meaning is nearly always clear. Some mistakes with punctuation