## 8.16 Writing exercise 1

Q: Some people think that the <u>best way to reduce the time spent in travelling to</u> <u>work</u> is to replace parks and gardens close to the city center with apartment buildings for commuters. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Introduction (2 sentences):

1 - Paraphrase/say the topic

2 – say your opinion

Body 1: this would help because people could walk to take public transport to work

Body 2: however, this solution would not be effective overall as it would lead to high population density in urban areas

Conclusion: repeat your ideas and your opinion

https://howtodoielts.com/recent-ielts-writing-topics-2021/ study some other sample answers and take some time to practice the structure introductions – look at a topic – write an introduction – check with the sample answer keep repeating until you feel confident with introductions

topic sentences

examples

Generally speaking, <del>commuting time<u>time</u> spent commuting</del> is considered as one of the most significant factors <u>in-impacting</u> living standards in <u>a</u>-modern <del>country and</del> citycities. For this reason, some suggest that the places for entertainment like gardens

Comment [Dave2]: don't worry too much about paraphrasing – change a few words but just write it quickly

Formatted: Underline

Comment [Dave1]: slightly misunderstood the question

should be moved out<u>of</u> the city center in order to construct more apartments for the sake of commuters time. While it is essential to uphold the importance of improve/prioritize efficiency, such radical measures would be highly likely to be counter-productive and create-cause unintended results harm if implemented.

What would happen if the replacement was put into practice? Nothing could be achieve for three reasons If parks were replaced with apartments, this would not alleviate traffic concerns. Firstly, it would be wasteful. The costs of replacing the parks with apartment blocks of flat would definitely likely make our economy suffered a huge loss in require significant government spending that are could otherwise be used to maintain our public services such as transport systems. Secondly, it would be inhumane. Residents near the parks have the human rights that must not be taken from them including the right to exercises, relax ing and breath the hing some fresh air. Finally, it would be detrimental for health. Modern cities are facing the increased pressure caused by from air pollution and heat island effectrising temperatures. Not only would this measure exacerbate this problems by cutting down plenty of trees and removing the grass, but is also it is likely to cause more other unexpected environmental issues.

To alleviate the travelling time problem problems related to time spent commuting, one solution might be working that the government could increase invest more in system infrastructure and public transport networks such as the (metro, subway, and ferry in order.) to reduce the traffic pressure and ensure the travelers to arrive at a destination with no-little delay.

To sum up, long traveling time<u>s have</u> become a <u>burning</u><u>crucial</u> issue for many commuterscity residents, but the radical way reform of to sacrificinge <u>our</u> the environment for the efficiency is questionable. And what we can do is to improve our<u>A</u> <u>better approach would be to improve</u> traffic <del>systems</del> infrastructure tothat</del> ensure everyone <del>could</del>-can\_arrive <u>at</u> the<u>ir</u> destination quickly and safely.

Comment [Dave3]: careless mistakes with plural			
Comment [Dave4]: don't need to keep repeating it			
Comment [Dave5]: short and simple – two sentences			
Comment [Dave6]: very clear opinion!			
Comment [Dave7]: don't use questions – a little too informal			
Comment [Dave8]: you cant develop them fully – because you don't have space imagine if you had 3 children – you'd only have some time for each child if you have 1 child, you can give them your full attention			
Comment [Dave9]: keep it weak			
Comment [Dave10]: economy and government are different areas			
Comment [Dave11]: irrelevant to the topic -			
Comment [Dave12]: very little development – band 5/6 for TA			
Comment [Dave13]: very little development, some specific detail – good!			
Comment [Dave14]: needs to be more specific			
Comment [Dave15]: great vocabulary!			
Comment [Dave16]: try to write a paragraph with just 1 idea and develop it fully			
Comment [Dave17]: need to develop this more			
Comment [Dave18]: specific detail – great!			
Comment [Dave19]: you need to develop the ideas in this paragraph for a little longer			
Comment [Dave20]: paragraphs should be			
Comment [Dave21]: present perfect – uncle			
Comment [Dave22]: too informal			
Comment [Dave23]: paraphrase a little more			
Comment [Dave24]: no our / we			
Comment [Dave25]: clear opinion – you didp'*			

40 minutes	
Task achievement: 5	
Cohesion/Coherence: 5/6	Comment [Dave27]: these are the areas you
Vocabulary: 6	can improve the fastest
Grammar: 6	
Overall: 5.5	

<u>Goal: 6.5/7 for writing – unrealistic in 10 days unless if you can really improve your</u> <u>TA/CC a lot</u>

If parks were replaced with apartments, this would be wasteful. The reason for this is the government only has so much money to allocate to public services. In order to remove parks.... For example, in Shanghai if the govt were to... The Chinese govt would no longer be able to afford...

**Comment [Dave28]:** your whole paragraph focused on one idea, with a clear, detailed, long, specific example – then you will get 7+

Comment [Dave26]: good length

## 8.17 Writing exercise 2

203

Q: Some people believe famous people's support towards international aid organizations <u>draws the attention to problems</u>, while others think celebrities make the problems less important. Discuss both views and give your opinion.

These days, it seems that <u>more-more</u> celebrities are participantings in the supportive charities that improve the welfare of the world than ever beforey jobs to the world welfare center than ever before. <u>YetHowever</u>, there remains some disagreement as to whether the overall effect of <u>this-their</u> actions has attracted more people or <del>played</del> down-minimized the importance of <u>these</u>-the aid. While there are certainly valid

Comment [Dave29]: be as specific as possible – good if you can think of a real celebrity, some real action they did – even if it's not 100% true Formatted: Underline

Comment [Dave30]: distracted from

argument to the contrary, I personally believe that the benefits of these backings far outweigh its their drawbacks.

The main reason why famous persons' backings could be seen as the decrease of significancedistracting/negative is the public trust. It is the a fact that most celebrities are entertainers and sports stars seeming and thus much less credible in the eyes of the public compared with than other one like politicians or scholars. This is mainly because stars are always have countless commercial advertisements and hype newssponsorship deals, most of which are mendacious and for their own profitesolely a means of earning money. Therefore, the majority of the citizens may be suspicious of the the authenticity of celebrity supports' reality and underestimate its importance.

To sum up, I once again reaffirm my position that the famous persons' support well-known activists supporting charities has an overall positive impact on

Comment [Dave31]: shorter introduction!
Comment [Dave32]: really clear opinion –

excellent – keep giving clear opinions!

Comment [Dave33]: very good topic sentence - short, simple and has a clear idea at the end.

**Comment [Dave34]:** you can develop this for your whole paragraph

Comment [Dave35]: really good explaining sentence – really clear! Helps your CC score a lot as well

Comment [Dave36]: this will be a much better TA score because you develop your one idea fully

**Comment [Dave37]:** really good paragraph – ideas, linking, vocab, grammar – it would still be better with a specific example – athlete/actor

**Comment [Dave38]:** fact – true now that famous people do this – would is for hypothetical situations

**Comment [Dave39]:** present simple for a situation that happens now

**Comment [Dave40]:** clear ideas, mostly well-developed – still missing a specific example

**Comment [Dave41]:** For example, there is a recent humanitarian crisis in India related to the spread of Covid-19. Many celebrities have posted on social media to raise awareness of the problem and publicize where individuals can donate to charities involved in providing aid for those in India.

world wild aiding programs because of its influence on the inspiration and the flow		
distribution of information. The more famous individuals focus on specific causes, the		Comment [Dave42]: raising awareness for key
greater awareness they will raise.		causes
		Comment [Dave43]: one short final thought at
<u>307</u>		the end of the essay
40 minutes		
Task achievement: 6		Comment [Dave44]: focus on writing like the
Cohesion/Coherence: 6		style of your second essay BUT include more specific
		examples
Vocabulary: 6		Comment [Dave45]: fix small mistakes with
Grammar: 6	_	your referencing, make sure you have simple topic
Overall: 6		sentences
		Comment [Dave46]: can take years to improve
		- fix some simple mistakes, learn some academic
a challenge to get to a 6.5 but it's possible if you can work a lot on your <b>TA and CC</b>		collocations/expressions that you might be able to
		use/get rid of some informal vocabulary

Formatted: Font: Bold