

Some people think that governments should give financial support to creative artists such as painters and musicians. Others believe that creative artists should be funded by alternative sources. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.

Comment [Dave1]: interesting topic!

Nowadays, ~~the~~ art and music are becoming more important for ~~the country culture~~ ~~preservative~~ ~~preserving~~ culture and attracting more ambitious youngsters. It is ~~often~~ argued ~~that whether~~ creative artists should be funded by alternative sources or the ~~financial~~ ~~support from~~ the government.

Comment [Dave2]: this point is a little strange – not true and not really related to the topic. No need to make art useful. You can just paraphrase the basic topic like: Many feel it is crucial for the government to ... while others ...

Firstly, ~~it is reasonable for people who believe that artists should be supported~~ ~~by those who support~~ other sources ~~because argue~~ there are ~~more country tasks~~ ~~need to be contributed to for government which need sufficient financial~~ ~~distribution~~ ~~deserving areas of funding~~. For example, ~~many developing nations such~~ ~~as India now is facing the faces serious issues related to poverty including serious~~ ~~poverty that people suffer dangerously from~~ malnutrition ~~and poor housing~~, if the government insisted ~~on spending~~ the capital to ~~develop the arts~~ ~~support artists~~, then it ~~would have to neglect some of those areas~~. ~~– how can its citizens survive without the~~ ~~its help?~~ Therefore, the alternative sources ~~need to be taken as the one from~~ ~~authority should be used to more obvious and should be prioritized as their demands~~ ~~are more~~ urgent ~~demands~~.

Comment [Dave3]: this phrase doesn't work for this topic because it isn't often argued... Just say: 'In my opinion, ...

Comment [Dave4]: no need to repeat because you said funded already

Comment [Dave5]: way to long for a topic sentence – try to write it around 12 words or so

Comment [Dave6]: ok but cant just say india is poor – need to add a little around the example to make it academic

Nonetheless, I support the ~~divergent contrasting~~ view ~~which is the that~~ artists are worthy ~~of~~ being supported financially by the country as I believe the value created by music and art cannot be ignored. ~~They Artists~~ not only nurture people with ~~the a~~ sense of beauty but also ~~give many people~~ ~~provide a source of a~~ ~~media to for~~ ~~individuals to~~ express themselves freely. ~~A counter-example would be~~ Van Gogh, one of the greatest ~~artists-painters~~ in ~~the world~~ ~~history~~, who created ~~famous works such~~ ~~as~~ Starry ~~N~~ight, ~~the star of the art~~, ~~died from poverty and suffered from poverty and~~ ~~died young~~. If he had been supported financially from the government, he would ~~be~~ ~~have been~~ able to create more famous paintings ~~to the world~~. As a result, it is ~~sarcastic hypocritical~~ for people ~~who enjoy the to enjoy~~ art ~~yet~~ at the same time refuse to ~~give provide the necessary support for artists and musicians helps~~.

Comment [Dave7]: no questions – too informal!

Comment [Dave8]: good example but needs to be extended – don't run away from the example once you start it – develop it! write about the results, other areas, etc.

Comment [Dave9]: better topic sentence – still too long but clear ideas and grammar

Comment [Dave10]: better example and development of the example – same issue though – you run away from the example after you write it

In conclusion, it ~~'s~~ ~~is~~ hard for artists to earn their living by themselves but the value they created is meaningful ~~and deserving of support~~. The skyscrapers of a city are temporary, but the art and music will ~~stay~~ ~~remain~~ with mankind permanently.

Comment [Dave11]: no contractions

Comment [Dave12]: excellent conclusion!

Task achievement: **6**

Comment [Dave13]: good ideas, some development but needs more focused development for a 7

Cohesion/Coherence: **6**

Vocabulary: **6**

Grammar: **6**

Overall: **6**

Comment [Dave14]: small mistakes throughout and topic sentences too long

Comment [Dave15]: sometimes informal and needs more academic collocations

Comment [Dave16]: frequent mistakes could drop it to a 5, good complexity though

TRANSLATED BAND DESCRIPTORS HOWTODIELTS.COM

Band Score	Task Achievement (Opinion and ideas)	Cohesion and Coherence (Organisation and linking of ideas)	Lexical Resource (Vocabulary and spelling)	Grammar: Range & Accuracy (Grammar and punctuation)
9	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Fully answers all parts of the question.Overall opinion - very clear, consistent and fully explained throughout the essay.Ideas - well-supported, very suitable and very relevant.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Organisation - very clear and very easy to follow throughout.Linking of ideas - very good throughout.Paragraphing - very natural.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Wide range of vocabulary, used very naturally and very flexiblyMistakes are very rare and similar to a native-speaker	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Wide range of grammar, used very naturally and flexiblyMistakes are very rare and similar to a native-speaker
8	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Answers all parts of the question very well.Overall opinion - clear, consistent, and well-explained throughout the essayIdeas - well-supported, suitable and relevant.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Organisation - very clear and logical throughout.Linking of ideas - good throughout.Paragraphing - very good.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Wide range of vocabulary, used naturally and flexibly to explain exact meaningsRare mistakes with incorrect words and collocationsRare mistakes with spelling and incorrect form of words	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Wide range of grammar.Mistakes are very rare - most sentences are 100% correct.
7	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Answers all parts of the question well.Overall opinion - clear, consistent and supported throughout the essay.Main ideas - relevant and clearly supported.Supporting ideas - some are too general or less relevant.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Organisation - clear and logical throughout.Linking of ideas - overall good but occasionally linking phrases are repeated or missing.Paragraphing - good, with one clear topic for each	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Good range of vocabulary, sometimes used naturally and flexibly to explain exact meanings.Some good use of more natural collocations.Rare mistakes with incorrect words, spelling and/or incorrect form of words	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Good range of complex grammar.Many sentences are 100% correct.Rare mistakes with punctuation.
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Some parts of the question are not answered fully.Overall opinion - relevant and supported but may be unclear, inconsistent or repetitive.Main ideas - relevant but not always clearly supported.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Organisation - clear and logical overall but not throughoutLinking phrases - some good use but some are incorrect or robotic.Referencing - may be unclear or incorrect.Paragraphing - not always logical.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">OK range of topic vocabulary.Tries to use less common and more natural vocabulary, sometimes successfully.Some mistakes with incorrect words, spelling and/or incorrect form of words but meaning is always clear.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">A good range of complex and simple grammar.Some mistakes but meaning is nearly always clear.Some mistakes with punctuation.