

In a number of countries, some people think it is necessary to spend large sums of money on constructing new railway lines for very fast trains between cities. Others believe the money should be spent on improving existing public transport. Discuss both these views and give your own opinion.

Comment [Dave1]: <https://howtodoielts.com/ielts-writing-task-2-sample-answer-railway-lines-cambridge-12/>

With ~~the~~ increasing demand for convenience in commuting between metropolitan ~~cities~~ areas, ways to improve the public transportation system ~~have been~~ are being heatedly discussed. Some people ~~would find~~ argue investing a large amount of money in the construction of new railways that ~~connects~~ is necessary, ~~but however~~ others ~~people~~ argue that reinvention and renewal of the current transportation system would be more financially efficient. I ~~would~~ agree that building new railway lines is a better way to improve convenience in the public transportation system.

Comment [Dave2]: present simple bc ppl say it now

Comment [Dave3]: try not to use the same word twice

Comment [Dave4]: the general citizenry, the general public, commuters

Comment [Dave5]: shorten it a little – really clear opinion - great

Convenience is the main reason why railway lines should be updated. The main reason railway lines should be updated is it is more convenient.

It is a ~~considerably~~ reasonable policy ~~for people~~ to suggest investing in improvements ~~of for~~ the current railway system, as there are several advantages. With a ~~readily~~ already existing ~~constructed~~ railway systems, ~~the a~~ renewal ~~can~~ could include reduced or minimised construction, leading to a ~~possibly~~ likely shortened period ~~of for~~ construction time. For example, in Melbourne over the last several decades the government has invested considerably in maintaining and restoring old railway lines. This allows them to not only make life more convenient for the average resident but also divert additional money into other areas that impact urban travel such as the quality of roads and subsidized ticket prices. Governments...

Comment [Dave6]: not a collocation – learn some academic collocation

Comment [Dave7]: you don't want to just list a bunch of advantages – you should try to develop one advantage fully

Comment [Dave8]: as it is more convenient and cost-effective.

Comment [Dave9]: hypothetical

Comment [Dave10]: memorized

It is a reasonable approach to update existing lines as it is more convenient and cost effective. existing – shorter time. example – Melbourne – recent decades – restoring. allows ...

Comment [Dave11]: take your time and plan a bit before you write the sentences

~~On top of that~~ Moreover, the ~~alternation~~ concomitant disturbances in city planning can be minimised as well, since there ~~could~~ would be less need for demolition and resettlement of existing buildings, all contributing to less interference ~~of for~~ city dwellers live during the construction period, and a ~~viably~~ less budgetary expenditure required.

Comment [Dave12]: informal – good for speaking

Comment [Dave13]: ones caused by this

However, I would consider these advantages insufficient comparing compared with building new railway lines connecting cities. ~~The preponderance~~ justifications for investing in a new railway system is more obvious are self-evident. ~~With the modern and latest technology advances in~~ transportation system construction, allows for building faster trains itself is possible, and such an improved system can contribute to more convenient commuting for the average citizen. Take the instance of mmaglev trains, ~~their~~ construction ~~does~~ requires a considerable ly large amount resources of money, ~~but however~~ yet the efficiency in commuting is greatly improved as transporting between cities locat ed 200 kilometers apart ~~could~~ can take less than one hour. think of a further possible result – In the long-term, cities and nations within a few years will have recouped the initial costs in time savings.

Comment [Dave14]: real city, a real company, a real person

Comment [Dave15]: reason at the end of the sentence

Comment [Dave16]: at the beginning of a sentence the topic/what you want to talk about and at the end your ideas

Comment [Dave17]: good – clear – say why?

Comment [Dave18]: long-term view

Comment [Dave19]: doesn't really add anything

Comment [Dave20]: more direct and the ideas flow better

Regarding the feasibility of ~~less expenditure~~ budget in renovating the current railway systems, ~~it there is also increased risk as could be diminished by the factor that the current railway system they may be being~~ too old to improve, which in return could ~~lead necessitate to~~ higher demand in investment ~~greater investment.~~

Comment [Dave21]: in general - plural

Comment [Dave22]: cut it

Overall, ~~to the~~ budget ~~a large amount of money in for~~ renovating the current railway systems ~~is fairly rational can be justified, for the lessening interruptions to of people's the public's~~ pace of life. However, ~~it this justification/policy/proposal/sentiment~~ is not sufficient ~~enough~~ to diminish the ~~long-term~~ advantages of building an improved and faster ~~web system~~ of railways in my opinion, since such an ~~organized~~ system ~~can east a more positive impact on the improvement of convenience in commuting can be more convenient.~~

Comment [Dave23]: a little long

Comment [Dave24]: <https://howtodoielts.com/recent-ielts-writing-topics-2021/>

Comment [Dave25]: slow down and write more accurately – around 300 is better

Formatted: Font: (Default) Helvetica Neue, 11 pt, Bold, Font color: Black, Text Outline

364

TA CC V G

8 8 8 7 = 7.5